

**SEKOLAH SISWAZAH
UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA**

**PENENTUAN HARGA TARIF ELEKTRIK :
AMALAN OLEH SYARIKAT ELEKTRIK INDONESIA**

SYAMSIR ABDUH

T E S I S

**Diserahkan kepada Sekolah Siswazah Universiti Utara Malaysia bagi memenuhi
keperluan pengijazahan Ijazah Doktor Falsafah**

2002



SEKOLAH SISWAZAH
(GRADUATE SCHOOL)
UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA

PERAKUAN KERJA/DISERTASI
(Certification of Dissertation Work)

Kami, yang bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa
(I, the undersigned, certify that)

SYAMSIR ABDUH

(nama penuh/full name)

calon untuk Ijazah
(candidate for the degree of) **DOKTOR FALSAFAH (Ph.D)**

telah mengemukakan tesis/disertasinya yang bertajuk
(has presented his/her project paper of the following title)

**PENENTUAN HARGA TARIF ELEKTRIK:
AMALAN OLEH SYARIKAT ELEKTRIK INDONESIA**

seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit tesis/disertasi
(as it appears on the title page and front cover of project paper)

bahasa tesis/disertasi tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungn, dan liputan bidang ilmu yang memuaskan, sebagaimana yang ditunjukkan oleh calon dalam ujian lisan yang diadakan pada :
(that the thesis/dissertation is acceptable in form and content, and that a satisfactory knowledge of the field covered by the thesis was demonstrated by the candidate through an oral examination held on **JULAI 15, 2002**

Pengerusi Viva : **Prof. Dr Juhary Haji Ali**
(Chairman for Viva)

Tandatangan
(Signature) :

Penilai Luar : **Prof. Dr. Rohani Jahja Widodo**
(External Assessor)

Tandatangan
(Signature) :

Penilai Dalaman : **Prof. Madya Dr. Zakaria Abas**
(Internal Assessor)

Tandatangan
(Signature) :

Penyelia Utama : **Prof. Ir. Dr. Che Sobry Abdullah**
(Principal Supervisor)

Tandatangan
(Signature) :

Tarikh : **15 Julai 2002**
(Date)

P E N G A K U A N

“Saya akui karya ini adalah hasil saya sendiri kecuali nukilan dan ringkasan yang tiap-tiap satunya telah saya jelaskan sumbernya”.

15 Julai 2002

Syamsir Abduh
(Matrik : 90046)

PENENTUAN HARGA TARIF ELEKTRIK : AMALAN OLEH SYARIKAT ELEKTRIK INDONESIA

Abstrak

Kajian ini bertujuan mengenalpasti perkaitan harga tarif elektrik di Indonesia dengan faktor-faktor yang berkorelasi ke atas penentuan harga kos marginal, perakaunan kos, dan waktu penggunaan kepada empat kelompok pelanggan, iaitu kelompok pelanggan residensial, industri, komersial dan kelompok pelanggan sosial. Harga tarif elektrik menjadi pembolehubah bersandar, manakala penggunaan tenaga, pengeluaran tenaga, kos penjanaan purata, harga bahan bakar, penjualan tenaga, kuasa bersambung, pendapatan wilayah dan beban puncak menjadi pembolehubah tidak bersandar. Data pembolehubah-pembolehubah diperolehi daripada Syarikat Elektrik Indonesia (PLN) seluruh Indonesia (27 Wilayah) dalam tempoh 1990-1999. Data dianalisis dengan menggunakan kaedah regresi berganda berbilang prosedur "stepwise". Hasil kajian pada *pendekatan kos marginal* menunjukkan pembolehubah kos penjanaan purata, harga bahan bakar, beban puncak, kuasa bersambung, pendapatan wilayah dan penjualan tenaga berkorelasi signifikan terhadap harga tarif elektrik pada kelompok pelanggan residensial. Kos penjanaan purata, harga bahan bakar dan pendapatan wilayah adalah berkorelasi signifikan terhadap harga tarif elektrik pada kelompok pelanggan industri. Pembolehubah kos penjanaan purata, harga bahan bakar, penggunaan tenaga, dan kuasa bersambung berkorelasi signifikan terhadap harga tarif elektrik pada kelompok pelanggan komersial. Manakala bagi kelompok pelanggan sosial didapati pembolehubah kos penjanaan purata, penjualan tenaga, harga bahan bakar dan pendapatan wilayah berkorelasi signifikan terhadap harga tarif elektrik. Kajian menggunakan *pendekatan perakaunan kos* menunjukkan pembolehubah kos penjanaan purata, harga bahan bakar, beban puncak, kuasa bersambung, pendapatan wilayah dan penjualan tenaga berkorelasi signifikan terhadap harga tarif elektrik pada kelompok pelanggan residensial. Kos penjanaan purata, harga bahan bakar dan pendapatan wilayah juga menunjukkan hubungan yang signifikan terhadap harga tarif elektrik pada kelompok pelanggan industri. Pembolehubah kos penjanaan purata, harga bahan bakar, penggunaan tenaga, dan kuasa bersambung berkorelasi signifikan terhadap harga tarif elektrik pada kelompok pelanggan komersial. Manakala bagi kelompok pelanggan sosial didapati pembolehubah kos penjanaan purata, penjualan tenaga, harga bahan bakar dan pendapatan wilayah berkorelasi signifikan terhadap harga tarif elektrik. Hasil kajian *pendekatan waktu penggunaan* menunjukkan pembolehubah kos penjanaan purata, harga bahan bakar, beban puncak, kuasa bersambung, pendapatan wilayah dan penjualan tenaga berkorelasi signifikan terhadap harga tarif elektrik pada kelompok pelanggan residensial. Kos penjanaan purata, harga bahan bakar, pendapatan wilayah, dan kuasa bersambung adalah berkorelasi signifikan terhadap harga tarif elektrik pada kelompok pelanggan industri. Pembolehubah kos penjanaan purata, pengeluaran tenaga, harga bahan bakar, dan beban puncak berkorelasi signifikan terhadap harga tarif elektrik pada kelompok pelanggan komersial. Manakala bagi kelompok pelanggan sosial didapati pembolehubah kos penjanaan purata, penjualan tenaga, harga bahan bakar dan pendapatan wilayah berkorelasi signifikan terhadap harga tarif elektrik. Kajian juga menunjukkan model harga tarif elektrik di tiap-tiap wilayah berbeza-beza sama ada mengikut kelompok pelanggan mahupun mengikut wilayah.

ELECTRICITY TARIFF PRICE : NATIONAL ELECTRIC COMPANY OF INDONESIA

Abstract

This study is to examine the relationship of tariff price in Indonesia between correlated factors *marginal cost pricing*, *cost accounting pricing* and *the time of use pricing* charged on the four groups of consumer: residential, industrial, commercial and social group customer. The electricity tariff price is the dependent variable whereas the energy consumption, energy production, average generation cost, fuel price, energy revenue, power connected, regional income and peak load are independent variables. Data are obtained from the National Electric Company (PLN) covering the entire 27 provinces of Indonesia over a period (1990-1999). The data are analyzed using multiple regressions with stepwise procedure. The study on *the marginal cost approach* shows that the following variables: average generation cost, fuel price, peak load, power connected, regional income and energy revenue correlate significantly with electricity tariff price on residential customer group. The study reveals that the average generation cost, fuel price and regional income correlate significantly with electricity tariff price on the industrial customer group. For commercial customer group, average generation cost, fuel price, energy consumption and power connected correlate significantly with electricity tariff price. For social customer group, it is found that average generation cost, energy revenue, fuel price, and regional income correlate significantly with electricity tariff price. The *cost accounting approach* shows that average generation cost, fuel price, peak load, power connected, regional income and energy revenue correlated significantly with the electricity tariff price on the residential customer group. The average generation cost, fuel price and regional income correlate significantly when industrial customer group. Likewise, the average generation cost, fuel price, energy consumption and power connected have significant correlation with electricity tariff price on commercial customer group. In social customer group, such as variables fuel price, average generation cost, energy revenue, fuel price and regional income correlate significantly with electricity tariff price. The *time of use approach*, shows that average generation cost, fuel price, peak load, power connected, regional income and energy revenue have significant correlation with electricity tariff price on the residential customer group. Electricity tariff price on the industrial customer group is significantly affected by average generation cost, fuel price, regional income, and peak load while on the commercial customer group the variables of average generation cost, energy production, fuel price, and peak load correlate significantly with electricity tariff price. For social customer group, the average generation cost, energy revenue, fuel price and regional income correlate significantly with electricity tariff price. The study also shows that electricity tariff price model for varies according to consumer group or province.

PENGHARGAAN

Syukur Alhamdulillah, saya panjatkan ke hadirat Ilahi di atas segala limpah kurniaNya, maka tesis ini telah dapat disiapkan. Penghargaan khusus kepada penyelia saya Prof. Dr. Ir. Che Sobry Abdullah yang begitu sabar dalam membimbing saya untuk menyiapkan tesis ini. Tanpa galakan, sokongan dan panduan beliau sudah tentu tesis ini tidak dapat dihasilkan.

Saya juga ingin mengambil kesempatan ini untuk memanjangkan penghargaan ini kepada semua pihak yang sentiasa memberi bantuan bagi menyiapkan tesis ini sama ada secara langsung atau pun tidak langsung, khususnya Prof. Madya Dr. Ibrahim Abdul Hamid, Prof. Dr. Juhary Haji Ali, Prof. Dato' Dr. Wan Rafaei Abdul Rahman dan Datin Prof. Dr. Siti Maimun Haji Kamso.

Penghargaan ini juga saya tujukan kepada pihak Universitas Trisakti yang membiayai pengajian saya, saya merakamkan rasa terhutang budi yang tidak terhingga. Akhir sekali penghargaan ditujukan kepada khususnya kepada ayahbunda saya, Abusahman Abduh dan Sitti Nurhayati Sjahril atas segala doa yang tiada hentinya, Allah jua yang akan membalasnya. Kalungan terima kasih dan setinggi-tinggi penghargaan saya tujukan khas buat istri tercinta, Filma Festivalia di atas dorongan, semangat, kesabaran yang ditunjukkan menguatkan semangat saya untuk menempuh masa-masa sukar. Tidak ketinggalan buat ananda tercinta Lazuardi Imami Abduh, Dhilan Amani Abduh, dan Azeddin Laraki Abduh.

Semoga sumbangan semua pihak mendapat ganjaran dan pahala dari Allah S.W.T.

Syamsir Abduh

Isi Kandungan

	M.S
Pengakuan	ii
Abstrak	iii
Abstract	iv
Penghargaan	v
Isi Kandungan	vi
Senarai Rajah dan Jadual	x
Senarai Istilah	xv
Senarai Lambang dan Singkatan Perkataan	xvi
Senarai Lampiran	xix
BAB 1 PENGENALAN	
1.1 Pendahuluan	1
1.2 Latar Belakang Kajian	2
1.3 Permasalahan Kajian	4
1.4 Objektif Kajian	7
1.5 Hipotesis Kajian	8
1.6 Kepentingan Kajian	9
1.7 Manfaat Kajian	10
1.8 Skop Kajian	10
1.9 Kekangan Kajian	11
1.10 Definisi Konsep	11
1.11 Pengorganisasian Tesis	16
BAB 2 SISTEM PENENTUAN HARGA TARIF LISTRIK	
2.1 Pengenalan	17
2.2 Objektif Umum Sistem Penentuan Harga Tarif Elektrik	17
2.3 Sistem Penentuan Harga Perakaunan Kos	19
2.4 Sistem Penentuan Harga Kos Marginal	25
2.5 Sistem Penentuan Harga Beban Puncak dan Diluar Waktu Beban Puncak	27
2.6 Sistem Penentuan Harga pada Waktu Penggunaan	33
2.7 Sistem Penentuan Harga Dinamik, Semerta dan Masa Benar	35
2.8 Perkembangan Tarif Elektrik di Indonesia	36
2.9 Tarif Elektrik Malaysia : Suatu Kajian Perbandingan	50
2.10 Ringkasan	55

BAB 3 ULASAN KARYA

3.1	Pengenalan	56
3.2	Model Umum Permintaan	56
3.3	Model Houtacker	58
3.4	Model Halvorsen	60
3.5	Model Griffin	64
3.6	Model Taylor, Velgerger & Blantterberger	67
3.7	Model Emmon	69
3.8	Model Keppo & Rasanen	76
3.9	Ringkasan	80

BAB 4 KERANGKA TEORI DAN PEMBENTUKAN HIPOTESIS

4.1	Pengenalan	83
4.2	Pembolehubah Jangkaan bagi Model Kajian	83
4.3	Pembentukan Model Kajian	88
4.4	Kerangka Teoritikal	89
4.5	Ringkasan	90

BAB 5 KAEDAH KAJIAN

5.1	Pengenalan	91
5.2	Rekabentuk Kajian	91
5.3	Pengumpulan Data	93
5.3.1	Teknik Pengumpulan Data	93
5.4	Analisis Data	96
5.4.1	Unit Analisis	101
5.4.2	Andaian	102
5.4.3	Taksiran Model Regresi	103
5.4.4	Pengujian Hipotesis	104
5.5	Ringkasan	104

BAB 6 DAPATAN KAJIAN PENDEKATAN PENENTUAN HARGA KOS MARGINAL

6.1	Pengenalan	107
6.2	Analisis Regresi Data Residensial	108
6.3	Analisis Regresi Data Industri	115
6.4	Analisis Regresi Data Komersial	119
6.5	Analisis Regresi Data Sosial	124
6.6	Model Regresi Tiap-tiap Wilayah	129
6.7	Ringkasan	131

**BAB 7 DAPATAN KAJIAN PENDEKATAN PENENTUAN HARGA
PERAKAUNAN KOS**

7.1	Pengenalan	138
7.2	Analisis Regresi Data Residensial	139
7.3	Analisis Regresi Data Industri	147
7.4	Analisis Regresi Data Komersial	153
7.5	Analisis Regresi Data Sosial	160
7.6	Model Regresi Tiap-tiap Wilayah	167
7.7	Ringkasan	169

**BAB 8 DAPATAN KAJIAN PENDEKATAN PENENTUAN HARGA
WAKTU PENGGUNAAN**

8.1	Pengenalan	170
8.2	Analisis Regresi Data Residensial	171
8.3	Analisis Regresi Data Industri	178
8.4	Analisis Regresi Data Komersial	185
8.5	Analisis Regresi Data Sosial	192
8.6	Model Regresi Tiap-tiap Wilayah	199
8.7	Ringkasan	201

BAB 9 PERBINCANGAN

9.1	Pengenalan	202
9.2	Dapatan Kajian	202
9.2.1	Penentuan Harga Pendekatan Kos Marginal	202
9.2.1.1	Kelompok Pelanggan Residensial	203
9.2.1.2	Kelompok Pelanggan Industri	205
9.2.1.3	Kelompok Pelanggan Komersial	209
9.2.1.4	Kelompok Pelanggan Sosial	212
9.2.1.5	Model Regresi tiap-tiap Wilayah	215
9.2.2	Penentuan Harga Pendekatan PerakaunanKos	215
9.2.2.1	Kelompok Pelanggan Residensial	216
9.2.2.2	Kelompok Pelanggan Industri	219
9.2.2.3	Kelompok Pelanggan Komersial	223
9.2.2.4	Kelompok Pelanggan Sosial	226
9.2.2.5	Model Regresi tiap-tiap Wilayah	229

9.2.3	Penentuan Harga Pendekatan Waktu Penggunaan	230
9.2.3.1	Kelompok Pelanggan Residensial	230
9.2.3.2	Kelompok Pelanggan Industri	233
9.2.3.3	Kelompok Pelanggan Komersial	236
9.2.3.4	Kelompok Pelanggan Sosial	239
9.2.3.5	Model Regresi tiap-tiap Wilayah	242
9.2.4	Kesan Model Kajian bagi Amalan Harga Tarif Elektrik	242
9.2.4.1	Pendekatan Kos Marginal	242
9.2.4.2	Pendekatan Perakaunan Kos	245
9.2.4.3	Pendekatan Waktu Penggunaan	247
9.2.5	Perbandingan Model dari Kaedah Pendekatan	249
9.2.6	Perbandingan dengan Model Lepas	249
9.3	Implikasi Kajian	250
9.3.1	Implikasi Akademia	250
9.3.2	Implikasi Pengurusan	254
9.4	Ringkasan	260

BAB 10 KESIMPULAN DAN CADANGAN

10.1	Pengenalan	261
10.2	Kesimpulan Kajian	261
10.2.1	Dapatan Kajian	261
10.2.2	Implikasi Kajian	265
10.3	Cadangan	265
10.3.1	Cadangan Polisi	265
10.3.2	Arah Tuju Penyelidikan Akan Datang	267
10.4	Penutup	268
		240

RUJUKAN	269
----------------	-----

LAMPIRAN	282
-----------------	-----

Senarai Rajah dan Jadual

M.S.

Rajah :

2.1	Tarif Dua Bahagian	20
2.2	Kos Purata per kWh	22
2.3	Tarif Tiga Bahagian	24
2.4	Harga Terhadap Output	28
2.5	Waktu Beban Puncak Tetap	30
2.6	Waktu Beban Puncak Bergeser	31
2.7	Keluk Beban Harian pada Musim Dingin	33
5.1	Carta Alir Tahapan Analisis Regresi	105
5.2	Carta Alir Kaedah Penganggaran Stepwise	106
9.1	Perbandingan Model Ramalan dan Sebenar Penentuan Harga Kos Marginal	244
9.2	Perbandingan Model Ramalan dan Sebenar Penentuan Harga Perakaunan Kos	246
9.3	Perbandingan Model Ramalan dan Sebenar Penentuan Harga Waktu Penggunaan	248
9.4	Lengkung Permintaan Tenaga Elektrik	251
9.5	Lengkung Bekalan Tenaga Elektrik	252
9.6	Permintaan Bekalan	253
9.7	Lengkung Permintaan Bekalan tidak Berpotongan	254

Jadual :

2.1	Perbandingan Sistem Penentuan Harga Tarif Elektrik yang Telah Diamalkan di Negara-negara di Dunia	36
2.2	Mekanisme Subsidi yang dapat Dilaksanakan	42
2.3	Golongan Tarif Elektrik (PLN 1998)	49
2.4	Perkembangan Tarif Elektrik di Indonesia	50
2.5	Jenis dan Bilangan Pelanggan (TNB 1994)	51
2.6	Kategori Tarif Elektrik (TNB 1997)	52
2.7	Jumlah yang Harus Dibayarkan	53
2.8	Kadar Tarif Diskaun	54
3.1	Pembolehkan yang digunakan dalam Tiap-tiap Model	79
3.2	Keterkaitan antara Model dan Sistem Penentuan Harga Tarif Elektrik	80
5.1	Data Input	95

5.2	Kaedah Kebebasan Variat Berganda	97
5.3	Senarai Pembolehubah untuk Analisis Data	101
6.1	Keputusan Langkah Pertama-Kaedah "Stepwise" (Pendekatan Harga Kos Marginal bagi Data Residensial)	108
6.2	Keputusan Langkah Kedua-Kaedah "Stepwise" (Pendekatan Harga Kos Marginal bagi Data Residensial)	109
6.3	Keputusan Langkah Ketiga-Kaedah "Stepwise" (Pendekatan Harga Kos Marginal bagi Data Residensial)	110
6.4	Keputusan Langkah Keempat-Kaedah "Stepwise" (Pendekatan Harga Kos Marginal bagi Data Residensial)	110
6.5	Keputusan Langkah Kelima-Kaedah "Stepwise" (Pendekatan Harga Kos Marginal bagi Data Residensial)	111
6.6	Keputusan Langkah Akhir-Kaedah "Stepwise" (Pendekatan Harga Kos Marginal bagi Data Residensial)	112
6.7	Ringkasan Keputusan Akhir-Kaedah "Stepwise" (Pendekatan Harga Kos Marginal bagi Data Residensial)	113
6.8	Keputusan Regresi Berganda (Pendekatan Harga Kos Marginal bagi Data Residensial)	115
6.9	Keputusan Langkah Pertama-Kaedah "Stepwise" (Pendekatan Harga Kos Marginal bagi Data Industri)	117
6.10	Keputusan Langkah Kedua-Kaedah "Stepwise" (Pendekatan Harga Kos Marginal bagi Data Industri)	117
6.11	Keputusan Langkah Akhir-Kaedah "Stepwise" (Pendekatan Harga Kos Marginal bagi Data Industri)	118
6.12	Ringkasan Keputusan Akhir -Kaedah "Stepwise" (Pendekatan Harga Kos Marginal bagi Data Industri)	119
6.13	Keputusan Regresi Berganda (Pendekatan Harga Kos Marginal bagi Data Industri)	121
6.14	Keputusan Langkah Pertama-Kaedah "Stepwise" (Pendekatan Harga Kos Marginal bagi Data Komersial)	123
6.15	Keputusan Langkah Kedua-Kaedah "Stepwise" (Pendekatan Harga Kos Marginal bagi Data Komersial)	123
6.16	Keputusan Langkah Akhir-Kaedah "Stepwise" (Pendekatan Harga Kos Marginal bagi Data Komersial)	124
6.17	Ringkasan Keputusan Akhir-Kaedah "Stepwise" (Pendekatan Harga Kos Marginal bagi Data Komersial)	125
6.18	Keputusan Regresi Berganda (Pendekatan Harga Kos Marginal bagi Data Komersial)	127
6.19	Keputusan Langkah Pertama-Kaedah "Stepwise" (Pendekatan Harga Kos Marginal bagi Data Sosial)	128
6.20	Keputusan Langkah Kedua-Kaedah "Stepwise" (Pendekatan Harga Kos Marginal bagi Data Sosial)	129
6.21	Keputusan Langkah Ketiga-Kaedah "Stepwise" (Pendekatan Harga Kos Marginal bagi Data Sosial)	130

6.22	Keputusan Langkah Akhir-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Kos Marginal bagi Data Sosial)	130
6.23	Ringkasan Keputusan Akhir-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Kos Marginal bagi Data Sosial)	131
6.24	Keputusan Regresi Berganda (Pendekatan Harga Kos Marginal bagi Data Sosial)	133
6.25	Ringkasan Model Regresi Pendekatan Kos Marginal	134
6.26	Model Regresi Tiap-tiap Wilayah	135
7.1	Keputusan Langkah Pertama-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Perakaunan Kos bagi Data Residensial)	139
7.2	Keputusan Langkah Kedua-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Perakaunan Kos bagi Data Residensial)	140
7.3	Keputusan Langkah Ketiga-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Perakaunan Kos bagi Data Residensial)	141
7.4	Keputusan Langkah Keempat-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Perakaunan Kos bagi Data Residensial)	141
7.5	Keputusan Langkah Kelima-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Perakaunan Kos bagi Data Residensial)	142
7.6	Keputusan Langkah Akhir-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Perakaunan Kos bagi Data Residensial)	143
7.7	Ringkasan Keputusan Akhir-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Perakaunan Kos bagi Data Residensial)	144
7.8	Keputusan Regresi Berganda (Pendekatan Harga Perakaunan Kos bagi Data Residensial)	146
7.9	Keputusan Langkah Pertama-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Perakaunan Kos bagi Data Industri)	148
7.10	Keputusan Langkah Kedua-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Perakaunan Kos bagi Data Industri)	148
7.11	Keputusan Langkah Akhir-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Perakaunan Kos bagi Data Industri)	149
7.12	Ringkasan Keputusan Akhir -Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Perakaunan Kos bagi Data Industri)	150
7.13	Keputusan Regresi Berganda (Pendekatan Harga Perakaunan Kos bagi Data Industri)	152
7.14	Keputusan Langkah Pertama-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Perakaunan Kos bagi Data Komersial)	154
7.15	Keputusan Langkah Kedua-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Perakaunan Kos bagi Data Komersial)	154
7.16	Keputusan Langkah Ketiga-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Perakaunan Kos bagi Data Komersial)	155
7.17	Keputusan Langkah Akhir-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Perakaunan Kos bagi Data Komersial)	155

7.18	Ringkasan Keputusan Akhir-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Perakaunan Kos bagi Data Komersial)	156
7.19	Keputusan Regresi Berganda (Pendekatan Harga Perakaunan Kos bagi Data Komersial)	159
7.20	Keputusan Langkah Pertama-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Perakaunan Kos bagi Data Sosial)	160
7.21	Keputusan Langkah Kedua-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Perakaunan Kos bagi Data Sosial)	161
7.22	Keputusan Langkah Ketiga-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Perakaunan Kos bagi Data Sosial)	162
7.23	Keputusan Langkah Akhir-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Perakaunan Kos bagi Data Sosial)	162
7.24	Ringkasan Keputusan Akhir-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Perakaunan Kos bagi Data Sosial)	163
7.25	Keputusan Regresi Berganda (Pendekatan Harga Perakaunan Kos bagi Data Sosial)	166
7.26	Ringkasan Model Regresi Pendekatan Perakaunan Kos	167
7.27	Model Regresi Tiap-tiap Wilayah	168
8.1	Keputusan Langkah Pertama-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Waktu Penggunaan bagi Data Residensial)	171
8.2	Keputusan Langkah Kedua-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Waktu Penggunaan bagi Data Residensial)	172
8.3	Keputusan Langkah Ketiga-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Waktu Penggunaan bagi Data Residensial)	173
8.4	Keputusan Langkah Akhir-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Waktu Penggunaan bagi Data Residensial)	173
8.5	Ringkasan Keputusan Akhir-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Waktu Penggunaan bagi Data Residensial)	174
8.6	Keputusan Regresi Berganda (Pendekatan Harga Waktu Penggunaan bagi Data Residensial)	177
8.7	Keputusan Langkah Pertama-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Waktu Penggunaan bagi Data Industri)	178
8.8	Keputusan Langkah Kedua-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Waktu Penggunaan bagi Data Industri)	179
8.9	Keputusan Langkah Ketiga-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Waktu Penggunaan bagi Data Industri)	180
8.10	Keputusan Langkah Akhir-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Waktu Penggunaan bagi Data Industri)	180
8.11	Ringkasan Keputusan Akhir-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Waktu Penggunaan bagi Data Industri)	181
8.12	Keputusan Regresi Berganda (Pendekatan Harga Waktu Penggunaan bagi Data Industri)	184

8.13	Keputusan Langkah Pertama-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Waktu Penggunaan bagi Data Komersial)	185
8.14	Keputusan Langkah Kedua-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Waktu Penggunaan bagi Data Komersial)	186
8.15	Keputusan Langkah Ketiga-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Waktu Penggunaan bagi Data Komersial)	187
8.16	Keputusan Langkah Akhir-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Waktu Penggunaan bagi Data Komersial)	187
8.17	Ringkasan Keputusan Akhir-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Waktu Penggunaan bagi Data Komersial)	188
8.18	Keputusan Regresi Berganda (Pendekatan Harga Waktu Penggunaan bagi Data Komersial)	191
8.19	Keputusan Langkah Pertama-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Waktu Penggunaan bagi Data Sosial)	192
8.20	Keputusan Langkah Kedua-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Waktu Penggunaan bagi Data Sosial)	193
8.21	Keputusan Langkah Ketiga-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Waktu Penggunaan bagi Data Sosial)	194
8.22	Keputusan Langkah Akhir-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Waktu Penggunaan bagi Data Sosial)	194
8.23	Ringkasan Keputusan Akhir-Kaedah “Stepwise” (Pendekatan Harga Waktu Penggunaan bagi Data Sosial)	195
8.24	Keputusan Regresi Berganda (Pendekatan Harga Waktu Penggunaan bagi Data Sosial)	198
8.25	Ringkasan Model Regresi Pendekatan Waktu Penggunaan	199
8.26	Model Regresi Tiap-tiap Wilayah	200
9.1	Peraturan Kenaikan tiap-tiap Pembolehubah	243
9.2	Peraturan Perbezaan Harga Ramalan dan Sebenar	249
9.3	Kepelbagaian Penggunaan Tenaga Primer bagi Pengeluaran Elektrik PLN	256
9.4	Perbandingan Tarif Elektrik PLN Sektor Industri dan GDR Indonesia (1995-1999)	258

Senarai Istilah

Aliran Kos
Harga Dinamik, Semerta dan Masa Benar
Kadar Pulangan
Keperluan Kewangan
Kos Marginal
Modal Simpanan
Pasaran Terbuka
Pendapatan Benar per kapita
Pendapatan Bolehguna
Pendapatan Perseorangan
Penjana Kuasa
Penyelarasan Aliran
Perakaunan Kos
Peralatan Isi Rumah
Permintaan Dijangka
Sistem Hasil
Tarif Dua Bahagian
Tarif Tiga Bahagian
Waktu Beban Puncak dan Di Luar Beban Puncak
Waktu Penggunaan

Senarai Lambang dan Singkatan Perkataan

<i>A</i>	<i>index of real whole sale price</i>
<i>BPS</i>	<i>Biro Pusat Statistik/Statistics Indonesia</i>
<i>C</i>	<i>total kos</i>
<i>cd</i>	<i>Darjah dingin harian</i>
<i>CPI</i>	<i>Index Harga Pengguna</i>
<i>D</i>	<i>Permintaan elektrik</i>
<i>Di</i>	<i>Permintaan elektrik sektor industri</i>
<i>F</i>	<i>Kos tetap</i>
<i>e</i>	<i>Ralat piawai</i>
<i>ETAM</i>	<i>Mekanisme Penyelarasan Tarif Elektrik</i>
<i>FP</i>	<i>Harga bahan bakar</i>
<i>g</i>	<i>Harga gas</i>
<i>GDRP</i>	<i>Keluaran Regional Dalam Negeri Kasar</i>
<i>GEN</i>	<i>Purata kos penjanaan</i>
<i>GWh</i>	<i>Giga Watt Hour</i>
<i>H</i>	<i>Saiz purata isi rumah</i>
<i>hd</i>	<i>Darjah panas harian</i>
<i>Hcsub</i>	<i>Subsidi antara daerah</i>
<i>HPP</i>	<i>Harga Asas Penjualan</i>
<i>K</i>	<i>Peraturan penjanaan dikeluarkan</i>
<i>kWh</i>	<i>Kilowatt hour</i>

L	<i>Kos buruh</i>
$LRMC$	<i>Kos marginal jangka panjang</i>
$MDBS$	<i>Multilateral Development Banks</i>
MVA	<i>Mega Volt Ampere</i>
MW	<i>Megawatt</i>
N_c	<i>Bilangan pelanggan</i>
P	<i>Harga elektrik</i>
PE	<i>Produksi tenaga</i>
$P_{et}=ETP$	<i>Harga tarif elektrik</i>
P_m	<i>Harga marginal elektrik</i>
PL	<i>Beban puncak</i>
PLN	<i>Perusahaan Listrik Negara/ National electric Company of Indonesia</i>
Pub	<i>Syariat Elektrik Awam</i>
PWC	<i>Kuasa bersambung</i>
$Q = CE$	<i>Penggunaan elektrik</i>
R	<i>percentage of housing unit</i>
REV	<i>Penjualan tenaga</i>
S	<i>stock capital of electricity equipment</i>
$SRMC=SMC$	<i>Marginal kos jangka pendek</i>
Sys	<i>Penjualan elektrik secara langsung</i>
t	<i>time subscript</i>
T	<i>cukai</i>
TDL	<i>Tarif Asas Elektrik</i>

<i>TOU</i>	<i>Waktu penggunaan</i>
<i>TNB</i>	<i>Tenaga National Berhad/National Electric Company of Malaysia</i>
<i>TTLB</i>	<i>Tarif Tenaga Elektrik Berkala</i>
<i>U</i>	<i>Bekalan kWh</i>
μ	<i>Ralat piawai</i>
<i>Unreg</i>	<i>Tarif tanpa undang-undang</i>
<i>V</i>	<i>Kos berubah</i>
W_h	<i>Penjualan elektrik</i>
<i>Y</i>	<i>Pendapatan benar per kapita</i>
Z_i	<i>Faktor institusi</i>
ψ	<i>Kadar penggunaan</i>

Senarai Lampiran

LAMPIRAN A1	Contoh Kutipan Data	M.S
Jadual:	A1.1 Harga Tarif Elektrik tiap-tiap Kelompok Pelanggan (Rp/kWh)	282
	A1.2 Penggunaan Tenaga tiap-tiap Kelompok Pelanggan (kWh)	282
	A1.3 Pengeluaran Tenaga (GWh)	282
	A1.4 Harga Bahan Bakar (Rp/liter)	283
	A1.5 Kos Penjanaan Purata (Rp/kWh)	283
	A1.6 Penjualan Tenaga Elektrik tiap-tiap Kelompok Pelanggan	283
	A1.7 Kuasa Bersambung tiap-tiap Kelompok Pelanggan (MVA)	284
	A.8 Beban Puncak (MW)	284
	A.9 Pendapatan Wilayah (GDR)	284
LAMPIRAN A2	Ujian ANOVA Harga Tarif Elektrik	
	A2.1 Harga Tarif Elektrik Pendekatan Kos Marginal	285
	A2.2 Harga Tarif Elektrik Pendekatan Perakaunan Kos	287
	A2.3 Harga Tarif Elektrik Pendekatan Waktu Penggunaan	289
LAMPIRAN B1	Keputusan Regresi Pendekatan Kos Marginal	
Jadual:	B1.1 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Residensial – Langkah Pertama	292
	B1.2 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Residensial – Langkah Kedua	293
	B1.3 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Residensial – Langkah Ketiga	294
	B1.4 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Residensial – Langkah Keempat	295
	B1.5 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Residensial – Langkah Kelima	296
	B1.6 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Residensial – Langkah Akhir	297
	B1.7 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Industri– Langkah Pertama	298
	B1.8 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Industri – Langkah Kedua	299
	B1.9 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Industri– Langkah Akhir	300

B1.10 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Komersial– Langkah Pertama	301
B1.11 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Komersial– Langkah Kedua	302
B1.12 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Komersial– Langkah Akhir	303
B1.13 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Sosial – Langkah Pertama	304
B1.14 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Sosial – Langkah Kedua	305
B1.15 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Sosial – Langkah Ketiga	306
B1.16 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Sosial – Langkah Akhir	307

LAMPIRAN B2 Keputusan Regresi Pendekatan Perakaunan Kos

Jadual: B2.1 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Residensial – Langkah Pertama	308
B2.2 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Residensial – Langkah Kedua	309
B2.3 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Residensial – Langkah Ketiga	310
B2.4 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Residensial – Langkah Keempat	311
B2.5 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Residensial – Langkah Kelima	312
B2.6 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Residensial – Langkah Akhir	313
B2.7 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Industri – Langkah Pertama	314
B2.8 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Industri – Langkah Kedua	315
B2.9 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Industri – Langkah Akhir	316
B2.10 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Komersial – Langkah Pertama	317
B2.11 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Industri – Langkah Kedua	318
B2.12 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Industri – Langkah Ketiga	319
B2.13 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Industri – Langkah Akhir	320
B2.14 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Sosial – Langkah Pertama	321
B2.15 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Sosial – Langkah Kedua	322
B2.16 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Sosial – Langkah Ketiga	323

B2.17 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Sosial – Langkah Akhir	324
--	-----

LAMPIRAN B3 Keputusan Regresi Pendekatan Waktu Penggunaan

Jadual:	B3.1 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Residensial – Langkah Pertama	325
	B3.2 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Reisdensial – Langkah Kedua	326
	B3.3 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Residensial – Langkah Ketiga	327
	B3.4 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Residensial – Langkah Akhir	328
	B3.5 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Industri – Langkah Pertama	329
	B3.6 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Industri – Langkah Kedua	330
	B3.7 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Industri – Langkah Ketiga	331
	B3.8 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Industri – Langkah Akhir	332
	B3.9 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Komersial – Langkah Pertama	333
	B3.10 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Komersial – Langkah Kedua	334
	B3.11 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Komersial – Langkah Ketiga	335
	B3.12 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Komersial – Langkah Akhir	336
	B3.13 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Sosial– Langkah Pertama	337
	B3.14 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Sosial– Langkah Kedua	338
	B3.15 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Sosial– Langkah Ketiga	339
	B3.16 Analisis Regresi Prosedur “Stepwise” bagi ETP Sosial– Langkah Akhir	340

LAMPIRAN C1 Ujian Andaian Regresi Berganda Pendekatan Penentuan Harga Kos Marginal

Jadual:	C1.1 Ujian Andaian Multikolineariti-Residensial	344
	C1.2 Ujian Andaian Multikolineariti-Industri	345
	C1.3 Ujian Andaian Multikolineariti-Komersial	346
	C1.4 Ujian Andaian Multikolineariti-Sosial	347

Rajah:	C1.1 Ujian Andaian Normaliti-Residensial	341
	C1.2 Ujian Andaian Normaliti- Industri	342
	C1.3 Ujian Andaian Normaliti -Komersial	342
	C1.4 Ujian Andaian Normaliti-Sosial	343
	C1.5 Ujian Andaian Heteroskedastisiti-Residensial	348
	C1.6 Ujian Andaian Heteroskedastisiti-Industri	349
	C1.7 Ujian Andaian Heteroskedastisiti-Komersial	349
	C1.8 Ujian Andaian Heteroskedastisiti-Sosial	350

LAMPIRAN C2 Ujian Andaian Regresi Berganda Pendekatan Penentuan Harga Perakaunan Kos

Jadual:	C2.1 Ujian Andaian Multikolineariti-Residensial	355
	C2.2 Ujian Andaian Multikolineariti-Industri	356
	C2.3 Ujian Andaian Multikolineariti-Komersial	357
	C2.4 Ujian Andaian Multikolineariti-Sosial	358
Rajah:	C2.1 Ujian Andaian Normaliti-Residensial	351
	C2.2 Ujian Andaian Normaliti -Industri	352
	C2.3 Ujian Andaian Normaliti -Komersial	353
	C2.4 Ujian Andaian Normaliti -Sosial	354
	C2.5 Ujian Andaian Heteroskedastisiti-Residensial	359
	C2.6 Ujian Andaian Heteroskedastisiti-Industri	360
	C2.7 Ujian Andaian Heteroskedastisiti-Komersial	360
	C2.8 Ujian Andaian Heteroskedastisiti-Sosial	361

LAMPIRAN C3 Ujian Andaian Regresi Berganda Pendekatan Penentuan Harga Waktu Penggunaan

Jadual:	C3.1 Ujian Andaian Multikolineariti-Residensial	364
	C3.2 Ujian Andaian Multikolineariti-Industri	365
	C3.3 Ujian Andaian Multikolineariti-Komersial	366
	C3.4 Ujian Andaian Multikolineariti-Sosial	367
Rajah:	C3.1 Ujian Andaian Normaliti-Residensial	362
	C3.2 Ujian Andaian Normaliti -Industri	362
	C3.3 Ujian Andaian Normaliti -Komersial	363
	C3.4 Ujian Andaian Normaliti -Sosial	363
	C3.5 Ujian Andaian Heteroskedastisiti-Residensial	368
	C3.6 Ujian Andaian Heteroskedastisiti-Industri	369
	C3.7 Ujian Andaian Heteroskedastisiti-Komersial	369
	C3.8 Ujian Andaian Heteroskedastisiti-Sosial	370

**LAMPIRAN D1 Peratusan Perbezaan Harga Ramalan dan
Sebenar Pendekatan Kos Marginal**

Jadual:	D1.1 Purata Min-Residensial	371
	D1.2 Purata Min-Industri	371
	D1.3 Purata Min-Komersial	372
	D1.4 Purata Min-Sosial	372

**LAMPIRAN D2 Peratusan Perbezaan Harga Ramalan dan
Sebenar Pendekatan Perakaunan Kos**

Jadual:	D2.1 Purata Min-Residensial	373
	D2.2 Purata Min-Industri	373
	D2.3 Purata Min-Komersial	374
	D2.4 Purata Min-Sosial	374

**LAMPIRAN D3 Peratusan Perbezaan Harga Ramalan dan
Sebenar Pendekatan Perakaunan Kos**

Jadual:	D3.1 Purata Min-Residensial	375
	D3.2 Purata Min-Industri	375
	D3.3 Purata Min-Komersial	376
	D3.4 Purata Min-Sosial	376

LAMPIRAN E Senarai Wilayah/Provinsi

Jadual:	E.1 Senarai Wilayah/Provinsi	377
---------	------------------------------	-----

BAB 1

PENGENALAN

1.1 Pendahuluan

Secara umum rancangan suatu sistem tarif elektrik haruslah memenuhi sifat adil, praktis, menghasilkan pulangan munasabah, dan dapat diterima pengguna keseluruhan serta menarik (Abdul Kadir 1992). Adil bermaksud semua pelanggan memiliki hak yang sama, meskipun tingkat keperluan tenaga elektriknya berbeza. Berdasarkan perbezaan tingkat keperluan pelanggan tenaga elektrik dikelompokkan berdasarkan penggunaan bagi mencapai keadilan dalam menentukan tarif elektrik (Berg & John 1988). Kelompok pelanggan tertentu dibebani tarif lebih besar dari harga asas, maka ini mengakibatkan subsidi antara kelompok pelanggan.

Praktis dalam sistem tarif bermaksud bahawa dalam melakukan pengukuran dan perhitungan tenaga terpakai sebaiknya menggunakan peralatan yang tidak terlalu canggih dan mahal, sehingga memudahkan dalam hal pengukuran mahupun sistem pentadbirannya.

Sistem tarif juga harus menghasilkan pulangan yang munasabah. Iaitu, jumlah yang perlu dibiayai oleh pelanggan boleh menutupi keseluruhan kos pengeluaran dan pembekalan tenaga elektrik bersama dengan pulangan yang munasabah. Ini dilakukan untuk syarikat elektrik untuk memperolehi keuntungan yang sesuai. Ini memastikan syarikat pembekal tenaga elektrik dapat terus beroperasi dan memberikan perkhidmatan terbaik seperti mana yang diharapkan oleh pelanggan.

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

RUJUKAN

- Abdul Kadir. 1992. *E n e r g i*. Jakarta : Penerbit Universitas Indonesia.
- Ackerman, E. 1999. Evaluation of pricing.
(atas talian) <http://proquest.umi.com/pqdweb>. (11 Disember 2000).
- Aigner, D.J. & Airschberg, J.G. 1985. Commercial/industrial customer response to time of-use electricity prices: Some experimental results. *The Rand Journal of Economics* 16(3): 341-355.
- Alias Baba. 1998. *Model linear dalam penyelidikan sains sosial*. Bangi : Penerbit Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia.
- Anderson, B. & Bergman, L. 1995. Market structure and the price of electricity: An ex ante analysis of the deregulated swedish electricity market. *The Energy Journals* 16(2): 97-109.
- Andrew, H. & John, P. 1998. Residential energy demand and the interaction of price and temperature: British experimental evidence." *Energy Economics* 20(3): 157-171.
- Apostolos, S. & Todd, K. 1998. The cyclical behaviour of monthly NYMEX energy prices." *Energy Economics* 20(2): 113-134.
- Arthur, S.D. & Walls, D. 1999. Cointegration analysis of spot electricity prices : Insights on transmission efficiency in Western USA", *Energy Economics* 21(6): 528-544.
- Asafu, J.A. 2000. The relationship between energy consumption, energy prices and economic growth : Time series evidence from Asian Developing Countries. *Energy Economics* 22(6): 615-625.
- Aubin, C., Housson, D., & Ivaldi, M. 2000. Realtime Pricing Electricity of Demand : Econometric of An Experiment. (atas talian). <http://netec.wustl.edu/BibEc/datar/Papers/fthoue46.html>. (20 April 2001).
- Awerbuch, S. 2000. pricing reform for the local disco : Setting rates the will support. *Public Utilitis Fortnightly* 138(13): 42-54.

- Baladi, S. M., Joseph A.H., & Thomas J.S. 1998. Residential response to voluntary time-of-use electricity rates. *Energy Economics* **20**(4): 225-244.
- Bartels, R. & Fiebig, D.G. 2000. Residential end-use electricity demand : Results from a design experiment. *The Energy Journal* **21**(2): 51-82.
- Baugham, S., Martin, L., Siddiqi & Shams, N. 1997. Advanced pricing in electrical system part I : Theory. *IEEE Transaction on Power System* **12**(1): 489-494.
- Benavidez, J.P. (tanpa tarikh). Electricity Tariff : Its Role in Support of Emerging Open Market. (atas talian). <http://www.tgn.or.jp/pecc/okinawa/speech/Benavidez.htm>. (5 September 2000).
- Berg, S. V. & John, T. 1988. *Natural monopoly : Principle and practice*. Cambridge: University Press.
- Berrie, T.W. 1992. *Electricity economics and planning*. New York: Pregrimus Ltd.
- Berrie, T.W. & Anari. 1986. Joint supply-demand optimization energy policy. Paper for International Symposium on Energy Sector Management and Modelling. New York, December 10-12.
- Bilas, R.A. 1999. Power markets : Restructuring electricity. *Contemporary Economic Policy* **17**(1): 1-19.
- Biro Pusat Statistik. 1991. *Statistics Indonesia 1990* : Gross regional domestic product of provinces in Indonesia by industrial origin.
- Biro Pusat Statistik. 1992. *Statistics Indonesia 1991* : Gross regional domestic product of provinces in Indonesia by industrial origin.
- Biro Pusat Statistik. 1993. *Statistics Indonesia 1992* : Gross regional domestic product of provinces in Indonesia by industrial origin.
- Biro Pusat Statistik. 1994. *Statistics Indonesia 1993* : Gross regional domestic product of provinces in Indonesia by industrial origin.
- Biro Pusat Statistik. 1995. *Statistics Indonesia 1994* : Gross regional domestic product of provinces in Indonesia by industrial origin.
- Biro Pusat Statistik. 1996. *Statistics Indonesia 1995* : Gross regional domestic product of provinces in Indonesia by industrial origin.
- Biro Pusat Statistik. 1997. *Statistics Indonesia 1996* : Gross regional domestic product of provinces in Indonesia by industrial origin.
- Biro Pusat Statistik. 1998. *Statistics Indonesia 1997* : Gross regional domestic product of provinces in Indonesia by industrial origin.

- Biro Pusat Statistik. 1999. *Statistics Indonesia 1998* : Gross regional domestic product of provinces in Indonesia by industrial origin.
- Biro Pusat Statistik. 2000. *Statistics Indonesia 1999* : Gross regional domestic product of provinces in Indonesia by industrial origin.
- Bjorndal, M. & Jornsten, K. 2001. Zonal pricing in a deregulated electricity market. *The Energy Journal* **22**(1): 23-32.
- Borenstein, S. 2001. The trouble with electricity markets (and some solution). (atas talian) <http://www.ucei/berkeley.edu/ucei/PDF/pwp081.pdf> .(29 Mac 2001).
- Borenstein, S. 2000. Electricity restructuring : deregulation or re-regulation?. *Regulation* **23**(2): 46-53.
- Borenstein, S. & Bushnell, J. 1999. An emperical analysis of market power in deregulated California electricity market. *Journal of Industrial Economics* **47**(1) : 1-16.
- Bosworth, D. & Pogh, C. 1985. Industrial and commercial demand for electricity by time-of-day. *The Energy Journal for Electricity Economics* **6**(3):101-107.
- Bower, J. & W. Bunn, D.W. 2000. Model-based comparisons of pool and bilateral markets for electricity. *The Energy Journal* **21**(3):1-29.
- Branch, E.R. 1993. Short-run income elasticity of demand for residential electricity using consumer expenditure survey data. *Energy Journal* **14**(4): 111-121.
- Brown, S., Sibley, D. 1986. *The theory of public utility pricing*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Brzycki, J. J. 1982. Response of industrial and commercial customer to time-of-use rates. *The Energy Journal for Electricity Economics* **3**(2):185-196.
- Bushnell, J.B. & Oren, S.S. 1994. Bidder cost revelation in electric power auctions. *Journal of Regulatory Economics* **6**(1): 5-26.
- Caves, D.W., Herriger, J.A., & Kuester, K.A. 1989. Load shifting under voluntary residential time-of-use rates. *Energy Journal* **10**(1): 83-100.
- Caywood, E. R. 1972. *Electric utility rate economics*. New York : McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc.
- Chao, H. 1983. Peak load pricing & capacity planning with demand & supply uncertainty. *Bell Journal of Economics* **4**(1):179-190.

- Chen, C.S., Hwang, J.C. & Huang, C.W. 1997. Application of load survey system to proper tariff design. *IEEE Transaction on Power System* 12(4): 1746-1751.
- Christopher, C.K. & George, A. 1999. Regulator preferences and utility prices : Evidence from natural gas distribution utilities. *Energy Economics* 21(3): 1-15.
- Cohen, J. & Cohen, P. 1975. Applied multiple regression & correlation analysis for the behaviour sciences. Hillsdale, New Jersey, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publisher.
- Conkling, R.L. 1999. Marginal cost pricing for utilities : A digest of the California experience. *Contemporary Economic Policy* 17(1): 20-33.
- Considine, T.J. 2000. Cost structures for fossil fuel-fired Electric power generation." *The Energy Journal* 21(2): 83-104.
- Cowan, S. 1997. Price-cap regulation and inefficiency in relative pricing. *Journal of Regulated Economics* 12 (1): 53-70.
- Crew, M.A., Fernando, C.S. & Kleindorfer, P.R. 1995. The theory of peak-load pricing : A survey. *Journal of Regulatory Economics* 8(1): 215-248.
- Danielsen, A.L., Kamerschen, D.R. & Keenan, D.C. 1990. Third-best pricing rules for regulated utilities. *Southern Economic Journal* 56(3): 628-638.
- David, E. 1994. Competition sends sparks through the utility industry. *The Journal of Professional Pricing* 3(1): 6-8. (atas talian) <http://proquest.umi.com/pqdweb> (6 Mei 2001).
- David E. D. & Andrew N.K. 1999. Cogeneration and electric power industry restructuring. *Energy Economics* 21(2): 153-166.
- Departemen Pertambangan dan Energi Republik Indonesia. 1989. *Kebijaksanaan Restrukturisasi Sektor Ketenagalistrikan*.
- Doucet, J.A. 1994. Coordination of non-utility generation through priority purchase contracts. *Energy Journal* 15(1): 79-92.
- Doyle, C. & Maher, M. 1992. Common carriage and the pricing of electricity transmission. *Energy Journal* 13(1): 63-94.
- Dubin, J.A. & McFadden, D.L. 1984. An econometric analysis of residential electric appliance holding and consumption. *Econometrica* 52(2): 345-362.
- Dubin, J.A. 1986. Price effects of energy-efficient technologies : A study of residential demand for heating and cooling. *RAND Journal of Economics* 17(3): 310-325.

- Duffie, D. 1992. *Dynamic asset pricing theory*. New York : Princeton Press.
- Elkhafif, M.A.T. 1992. Estimating disaggregated prices in elasticities in industrial energy demand. *The Energy Journal for Pricing on Energy* **13**(4): 209-217.
- Emmon, M. W. 1995. Implications of ownership, regulation, and market structure for performance : Evidence from the US electric utility industry before and after the new deal. *The Review of Economics and Statistics* **20**(6): 279-288.
- Ethier, R. & Mount, T. 1998. Winners and losers in competitive electricity industry : An empirical analysis. *The Energy Journal (Special issue : Distributed Resources)*: 187-210.
- Etzioni, A. 1986. Does regulation reduce electric rates? A research note. *Policy Sciences* **19**(1): 349-357.
- Fernandez, P.P. 1999. Some issues: Regarding the restructuring of the electricity industry & privatization of the national power generation.(atas talian). http://www.policy.com.ph/doc/o2economicpolicy/Energy_sector/. (29 Mac 2000)
- Fisher, J. & Kaysen, L. 1962. The demand of electricity. *Bell Journal of Economics* **6**(1): 75-110.
- Freund, L.C. & Wallich, C.I. 1996. The welfare effects of raising household energy price in poland. *The energy Journal* **17**(1): 53-77.
- Freund L. C. & Wallich, C.I. 1995. Raising household energy prices in Poland; whogains?Wholoses?(atas talian). <http://www.worldbank.org/html/dec/Publications/Workpapers/wps.1495.html>. (3 Mei 2001).
- Filippini, M. 1995. Swiss residential demand by time-of-use : An application of the almost demand system. *The Energy Journal* **16**(1): 27-39.
- Gilbert, R.J. & Kahn, E.P. 1996. *International comparison of electricity regulation*. Cambridge, MA : Cambridge University Press.
- Gilmer, R.W. & Mack, R.S. 1986. Long-run adjustment to alternative levels of reliability in electricity supply. *The Energy Journal for Electricity Economics* **7**(4): 89-94.
- Goett, A.A., Hudson, K., & Train, K.E. 2000. Customer's choice among retail energy suppliers : The willingness-to-pay for services attributes. *The Energy Journal* **21**(4): 1-28.
- Graves, F.G. 2000. Regulatory review. *Electric Perspective* **25**(3): 134-139.
- Griffin, J. M. 1974. The effects of higher prices on electricity consumption. *Bell Journal of Economics* **7**(2): 515-539.

- Grohnheit, P.E. 1996. Model result for the danish electricity generating system. (atas talian). <http://www.akf.dk/eng/grohnhei.htm>. (10 Desember 2000).
- Grosfeld, N.A. & Tishler, A. 1993. A stochastic model of the measurement of electricity outage costs. *Energy Journal* **14**(1): 57-74.
- Gupta, N.K. & Danielsen, A.L. 1998. Realtime pricing : Ready for the meter?. (atas talian).<http://proquest.umi.com/pqdweb>. (11 Desember 2000).
- Hair, J.F., Anderson, R.E., Tatham, R.L. & Balck, W.C. 1998. *Multivariate data analysis*. New Jersey : Prentice Hall International Inc.
- Halvorsen, R. F. 1975. Residential demand for electric energy. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 7(5): 12-18.
- Hansen, U. 1998. Technological options for power generation. *The Energy Journal* **19**(2): 63-87.
- Hausman, J.A. & Mason, J.K.M. 1988. Price discrimination & patent policy. *RAND Journal of Economics* **19**(1): 253-265.
- Hill, C. 1999. Renegotiating power. *Global Finance* 13(2): 37-40. (atas talian) <http://proquest.umi.com/pqdweb>. (6 Mei 2001).
- Hirst, E. 1992. Price and cost impacts of utility DSM programs. *The Energy Journal for Pricing of Energy* **13**(4): 75-90.
- Hirst, E. 2001. Price responsive retail demand: Key to comparative electricity markets. *Public Utility Fortnightly* **139**(5): 34-42.
- Hisnanick, J. J. & Kern O. Kymn, K.O. 1999. Modelling economics of scale : The case of US Electric Power Companies." *Energy Economics* **21**(2): 225-237.
- Hogan, W. 1993. Market in real networks require reactive prices. *The Energy Journal* **14**(3) : 171-200.
- Houthakker, H.S. & Taylor, L.D. 1970. *Consumer demand in the United States*. USA: Harvard University Press.
- Houthakker, H. S. 1980. Residential electricity revisited. *The Energy Journal for Electricity Economics* **1**(1): 29-43.
- Huber, P. 1993. Two cheers for price discrimination. *Forbes* **20**: 142 (atas talian) <http://khht.com/huber/forbes/092793.html>. (3 Mei 2001).
- Huettnner, D., Kasulis, J. & Dikeman, N. 1982. Costs and benefits of residential time-of-use metering. *The Energy Journal for Electricity Economics* **3**(3): 95-112.

- Hunt, S. & Worth, G.S. 1996. *Competition and Choice in Electricity*. USA: John Wiley & Son.
- International Institute for Energy Conservation (IIEC). 1997. High value application for renewable energy and energy efficiency technology in Indonesia (atas talia) <http://www.org/publs/assess/indo 1997.PDF> (5 Mei 2001).
- Jamison, M. 1999. *Industry structure and pricing : The new rivalry infrastructure*. Boston : Kluwer Academic Publisher.
- Jarell, G.A. 1978. The demand for state regulation of the electric utility industry. *Journal of Law & Economics* 21(1): 269-295.
- Jenkins, P. G. & Marchesim, M. 1999. An analysis of electricity generation and tariff option in Ghana. (atas talian). <http://www.hid.harvard.edu/put/ddps/702 abs.html>. (10 Mac 2000).
- Jiwu, S. 1998. Changes in energy consumption and energy intensity : A complete decomposition model. *Energy Economics* 20(1): 85-100.
- John, T. 1995. Monopsony power and existence of natural monopoly in energy utilities." *Energy Economics* 17(2): 195-212.
- Joskow, P.L. 1996. Introduction competition in network industries : From hierarchies to market electricity. *Industrial and Corporate Change* 5(2): 341-382.
- Joskow, P.L. 1998. Electricity sectors in transision. (atas talian). <http://proquest.umi.com/pqdweb>. (11 Disember 2000).
- Joy, C. (tanpa tarikh). Pricing modeling & managing physical power derivatives. (atas talian) http://www.caminus.com/news/pdf/price_modeling.pdf. (28 Julai 2000).
- Kahn, B. S. & Luis, P. 1997. Simulating electricity restructuring in california: Interaction with the regional market. *Energy Economics* 19(3): 3-28.
- Kai, X. & Hua, Y. 2000. Decomposition model and interior point method for optimal spot pricing of electricity in deregulation environments. *IEEE Transaction on Power System* 15(1): 39-51.
- Kaiser J. M. 2000. Pareto-optimal electricity tariff rates in The Republic Armenia." *Energy Economics* 22(1): 463-495.
- Kamershen, D.R. 2001. Pricing developments in the electric utility industry. *American Business Review* 19(1): 89-94.
- Keppo, J. & Rasanen, M. 1999. Pricing of electricity tariffs in competitive markets." *Energy Economics* 21(3): 213-223.

- Kim, C. & Ahn, B.H. 1990. On the economics of cogeneration : Pricing and efficiency in government owned utilities. *The Energy Journal for Pricing on Energy* **11**(1): 87-99.
- King, S.P. 1999. Price discrimination, separation and access : Protecting competition or protecting competitor? *Australian Journal of Management* **24**(1): 21- 36.
- Kirsch, L.D. 2000. Pricing the grid : Comparison transmission rates of the US ISOs. *Public Utilities Fortnightly* **138**(4): 30-43.
- Knoke, D. & Borke, P.J. 1980. *Log-linear models*. USA : Sage Publications.
- Lam, P. L. 1999. Regulatory effects on electricity prices in Hongkong”, *Energy Economics* **21**(6): 528-544.
- Larivierre, I. & Lafrance, G. 1999. Modelling the electricity consumption of cities : Effect of urban density. *Energy Economics* **21**(3): 53-66.
- Lemmer, E.F., Delport, G.J. & Kern, C.F. 2000. A comparative RTP : Case study with a variable volume water heater. (atas talian). <http://www.uct.ac.za/cnfnctechcon/duce/SOURCE/Web/Lemmer/Lemmer.html>. (5 September 2000).
- Lively, M.B. 2000. Distributed generation : Setting a fair price in distribution tariff. *Public Utilities Fortnightly* **138**(19): 26-38. (atas talian) <http://proquest.umi.com/pqdweb>.(6 Mei 2001).
- Maddock, R. & Castano, E. 1991. The welfare impact of rising block pricing electricity. *Energy Journal* **12** (4): 65-78.
- Mark E. D. & Dunne, T. 1995. Energy intensity, electricity consumption, and advanced manufacturing technology usage. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change : An International Journal* **3**(5): 297-307.
- Martinez, S.A. 2000. Public power : Perspective in electricity restructuring. Conference on Private Initiatives in Infrastructure Building in Developing Countries. Tokyo, January 26-27.
- Matsukawa, I., Asano, H. & Kakimoto, H. 2000. Household response to incentive payments for load shifting : A Japanese time of day electricity pricing equipment. *The Energy Journal* **21**(1): 73-86.
- Matsukawa, I. & Fujii, Y. 1994. Customer preferences for reliable power supply : Using data on actual choices of back-up equipment. *The Review of Economics and Statistics* : 434-446.

- Miller, L.H. 2000. The power to choose electricity : Deregulation versus site generation. *Pennsylvania CPA Journal* 71(1): 8-10.
- Ming, J.H., Calzonetti, F.J., & Mann, P.C. 1995. Regional disparities in pricing strategies of electric utilities. *The Annual Regional Science* 29(4): 351-361.
- Misra, S.R. & Trivedi, M. 1997. A cointegration analysis of demand : Implications for pricing. *Pricing Strategy and Practice* 5(4): 156-163.
- Moorhouse, J. C. 2001. Competitive markets for electricity generation. *The Cato Journal* 14(3): 1-16 (atas talian) <http://www.cato.org/pubs/journal/cj14n3-3.html>. (24 Pebruari 2001).
- Morris, J.R. 2000. Finding market power in electric power markets. *International Journal of The Economics of Business* 7(2): 167-179.
- Mountain, D. C. & Hsiao, C. 1986. Peak and off-peak industrial demand for electricity : The Hopkinson rate in Ontario, Canada. *The Energy Journal for Electricity Economics* 7(1): 149-168.
- Munasinghe, M. (1990). *Electric Power Economics*. USA: John Hopkins University Press.
- Munawar Amarullah. 1983. The pricing of electricity in Indonesia. Tesis Ph.D. University of Houston, USA.
- Munawar Amarullah.1984. *Electricity demand in Indonesia : An econometric analysis*. Jakarta : Perusahaan Listrik Negara.
- MunawarAmarullah. 1986. Analysis on electricity pricing. Study for the Development of Infrastructure Pricing Policy Project, BAPPENAS/USAID Publication.
- Nanan Tribuana. 1998. Rasionalisasi Tarif Listrik. *Elektro Indonesia* 22(5): 3-9.
- Nesbakken, R. 1998. Residential energy consumption for space heating in Norwegian household : A discrete continous approach. Discussion paper No. 231. Statistcs Norway.
- Nesbakken, R. 1999. Price sensitivity of residential energy consumption in Norway", *Energy Economics* 21(6): 493-515.
- Oderiz, R. J., Arriaga, P. & Ignacio, J. 2000. Marginal pricing of transmission services : A comparative analysis of network cost allocating methods. *IEEE Transaction on Power System* 15(1): 448-455.
- Orasch, W., Auer, H., Haas, R. & Huber, C. 2000. Efficient load-management tools in competitive electricity markets : Time-of-use rates and real-time pricing (atas

talian) <http://www.ctech.ac.za/2000/cnfc/techconf/duce/SOURCE/Web/Orasch/Orash.html>. (5 September 2000).

- Ottmar,E. & Carlo, J. C. 1998. Power shift: The dynamics of energy efficiency. *Energy Economics* **20**(1): 513-537.
- Palmer, K. 1997. Electricity restructuring : Environmental impact. Forum for Applied Research and Public Policy Fall : 28-33.
- Peltzman, S. 1993. Pricing in public and private enterprises : Electric utilities in the US. *Journal of Law & Economics* **14**(1): 109-147.
- Pollit, M.G. 1997. Ownership, costs and prices in electric utilities. Oxford Energy Forum. August 30.
- Poyer, D.A., Hebderson, L. & Teoto, A.P.S. 1997. Residential energy consumption across different population groups : Comparative analysis for latino & non-latino household in USA. *Energy economics* **19**(4): 445-463.
- Pindyck, R. S. 1999. The long-run evolution of energy prices. *The Energy Journal for Pricing of Energy* **20**(2): 1-28.
- PT. PLN (PERSERO). 1991. *PLN Statistics 1990*.
- PT. PLN (PERSERO). 1992. *PLN Statistics 1991*.
- PT. PLN (PERSERO). 1993. *PLN Statistics 1992*.
- PT. PLN (PERSERO). 1994. *PLN Statistics 1993*.
- PT. PLN (PERSERO). 1995. *PLN Statistics 1994*.
- PT. PLN (PERSERO). 1996. *PLN Statistics 1995*.
- PT. PLN (PERSERO). 1997. *PLN Statistics 1996*.
- PT. PLN (PERSERO). 1998. *PLN Statistics 1997*.
- PT. PLN (PERSERO). 1999. *PLN Statistics 1998*.
- PT. PLN (PERSERO). 2000. *PLN Statistics 1999*.
- Raimo, P.H., Mantisaari, J., Ruusunen, J. & Oliver, P. 1999. Consumption strategies and tariff coordination for cooperative consumers in a deregulated electricity market. *Proc. The Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, IEEE Computer Society Press, Hawaii, January 5-8.

- Rasanen, M., Ruusunen, J. & Hamalaizen, R.P. 1998. Optimal tariff design under consumer self selection. *Energy economic* 19(3) : 151-167 (atas talian) <http://www.hut.fi/units/sac/pras97.html>. (12 Mei 1999).
- Ravid, S.A. 1987. On marginal cost pricing when consumers can also produce. *The Energy Journal for Pricing on Energy* 8(4): 17-22.
- Ren, O., Woo, C.K., Roger, P. & Ira, H. 1994. Demand-side management and electric power exchange. *Energy Economics* 16(2): 243-254.
- Restructuring Dictionary & Acronym List. (tanpa tarikh). (atas talian) <http://ee.notes.org/glossary.htm>. (9 Mei 1999).
- Riechmann, C. 2000. Strategic pricing of grid access under partial price caps: Electricity distribution in England and Wales. *Energy Economics* 21(2): 187-287.
- Robert, K. K. 1994. The effect of expected energy prices on energy demand : Implications for energy conservation and carbon taxes." *Energy Economics* 16(4): 167-188.
- Rodney, M. & Castano, E. 1991. The welfare impact of rising block pricing electricity. *Energy Journal* 12(4) : 65-78.
- Rose, K. & McDonald, J.F. 1991. Economics of electricity self-generation by industrial firm. *Energy Journal* 12(1): 47-66.
- Roth, G. 1987. *The private provision of public services in developing countries*. USA : Oxford University Press.
- Rudkevich, A., Duckerworth, M. & Rosen, R. 1998. Modelling electricity pricing in deregulated generation industry : The potential oligopoly pricing in a poolco. *The Energy Journal for Pricing of Energy* 19(3): 19-48.
- Sansing, R. 1996. Transfer pricing and the taxation of natural resources extraction. *Journal of Energy Finance and Development* 1(1): 71-81.
- Sharkey, W.W. 1982. *The theory of natural monopoly*. New York : Cambridge University Press.
- Shewppe, F.C., Caramanis, M.C., Taboos, R.D. & Bahn, R.E. 1998. *Spot Pricing of electricity*. Boston : Klumer Academic Press.
- Singh, A.M. (tanpa tarikh). Electricity tariff hike the electricity pricing : Some critical observations (atas talian) <http://www.nepalnews.com.np/contents/english/weekly/independent/11-05/business.htm>. (3 Mei 2001).
- Soderhelm, P. 2000. Ethical limitations of social cost pricing : An application to power generation externalities. *Journal of Economics* 34(2): 453-463.

- Stall, H.G. 1989. *Least cost electricity utility planning*. New York : John Wiley
- Stigler, L. & Friendland, C. 1962. What can regulators regulate? : The case of Electricity. *Journal of Law & Economics* 5(1) : 1-16.
- Stoft, S. 1999. Financial transmission rights meet Cournot : How TCCs curb market power. *The Energy Journal* 20(1): 101-123.
- Strauss, T. & Oren, S. 1993. Priority pricing of interruptible electric service with an early notification option." *The Energy Journal* 14(2): 175-196.
- Stuefen, R.M., Johnson, D.A., Waldron, R.E. & Paulson, W.E. 1999. *Electricity pricing in a restructured electric power industry*. USA: University South Dakota Research.
- Stuefen, R.M. 1999. Electricity pricing in a restructured electric power industry. *South Dakota Business Review* 57(13): 1-4.
- Subramanian, A., Ibrahim, A. & Castro, T. (tanpa tarikh). Optimal tariff : Theory and practice (atas talian) <http://www.limits.uqam.ca/ideas/data/ffhimofis> . (13 Mei 1999).
- Sumadi Suryabrata. 1998. *Metode Penelitian*. Jakarta : PT. Raja Grafindo Persada.
- Syamsir Abduh. 2000. Aplikasi teknik long-run marginal cost pada penetapan tarif listrik di Indonesia. *Prosiding Seminar Sistem Tenaga Elektrik* 1(1) : 5-11.
- Syamsir Abduh. 2000. Aplikasi peaker deferral method pada perhitungan biaya pembangkitan sebagai salah satu komponen dalam penetapan tarif listrik di Indonesia. *Proc. of The 2000 FTUI Seminar Quality in Research*. 9 (3) : 1-6
- Syamsir Abduh. 2000. Electricity tariff pricing in Indonesia : An econometric analysis using electricity demand in the residential sector. Paper Symposium on Graduate Management Research. Universiti Utara Malaysia, Kedah, November 15-16.
- Taylor, L.D., Verleger, P.K. & Blattenberger, G.R. 1977. *The residential demand for energy*. Paulo Alto, CA: Electric Power Research Institute.
- Taylor, T.N. & Schwarz, P.M. 1986. Residential demand charge : Evidence from the duke power time-of-day pricing experiment. *The Energy Journal for Pricing of Energy* 7(2): 139-151.
- Tenaga Nasional Berhad. (tanpa tarikh). Customer tariff rates (atas talian) <http://www.tnb.com.my/newtnb/cu.../old.htm>. (23 April 2001).

- Tenaga Nasional Berhad. 1995. Buletin Statistik dan Laporan Tahunan TNB 1984-1994.
- Tenaga Nasional Berhad. 1998. Buletin Statistik dan Laporan Tahunan TNB 1997.
- Tom, E. & Jan, B. 1993. Expectation, adjusment cost, and energy demand. *Energy Economics* **15**(2): 371-385.
- Valetti, T.M. & Estache, A. 1999. The theory of access pricing : An overview for infrastructure regulators. (atas talian) <http://www.worldbank.org/html/dec/Publications/Workpapers/wps2000/series/wps2097/wps2097.pdf>. (3 Mei 2001)
- Varian, H.R. 1985. Price discrimination and social welfare. *American Review* **75**(1) : 870-875.
- Varian, H.R. 1996. Differential pricing and efficiency. (atas talian) <http://www.firstmonday.dk/issues/issue2/different/> . (5 September 2000).
- Watkins G. C. 1993. Adjustment costs and returns to scale: Some theoritical and empirical aspects. *The Energy Journal* **14**(1): 257-280.
- Wilson, R. 1989. Ramsey pricing of priority service. *Journal of Regulatory Economics* **1** : 189-202.
- Wilson, R. 1993. *Non-linear pricing*. New York : Oxford University Press.
- Wolak, F. A. (2001). Electricity market design and implementation. Paper Two Day Workshop on Power Market, Jakarta, February 22-23.
- Woo, C. 1990. Efficient electricity pricing with self-rationing. *Journal of Regulatory Economics* **2**(1): 69-81.
- Woo, C.K., Horil, B. & Harowitz, I. 1999. The hopkinson tariff alternative to TOU rates in the Israel Electric Corporation. Working Paper.
- World Bank Report (Energy Council). 1998.
- Xie, K., Song, Y.H., Stonhon, J., Yu, L. & Liu, G. 2000. Decomposition model and interior point methods for optimal pricing in electricity spot pricing in electricity in deregulation environments. *IEEE Transaction on Power System* **15**(2): 39-48.
- Yi, F. 2000. Dynamic energy-demand models : A comparison. *Energy Economics* **22**(1): 285-297.
- Yong, U.G. & Rie, L.A. 1998. Cointegration, error-correction, and the relationship between GDP and Energy. *Energy Economics* **20**(3):17-25.

- Yu, H. 1994. Estimation of residential demand for electricity with the cross-sectionally correlated and time-wise autoregressive model. *Energy Economics* **16**(4): 255-263.
- Zarnikau, J. 1990. Customer responsiveness to real-time pricing of electricity. *Energy Journal* **11**(4):99-117.